



Translation

The following is an English translation of an independent assurance report prepared in Japanese and is for information and reference purposes only. In the event of a discrepancy between the Japanese and English versions, the Japanese version will prevail.

September 20, 2019

Independent Assurance Report

TO:
Mr. Kazuyuki Inoue
President
SHIMIZU CORPORATION

Kenji Sawami
Engagement Partner
Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC Tokyo, Japan

We, Ernst & Young ShinNihon LLC, have been commissioned by SHIMIZU CORPORATION (hereafter the “Company”) and has carried out a limited assurance engagement on the Key Sustainability Performance Indicators (hereafter the “Indicators”) of the Company and its major subsidiaries for the year ended March 31, 2019 as included in “Company’s Contributing to the Global Environment” section of the “ESG Management” web site (hereafter the “Report”). The scope of our assurance procedures was limited to the Indicators marked with the symbol "☑" in the Report.

1. The Company’s Responsibilities

The Company is responsible for preparing the Indicators in accordance with the Company’s own criteria, that it determined with consideration of Japanese environmental regulations as presented in “Environmental performance data - Standards for Calculation” of the Report. Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are estimated using emissions factors, which are subject to scientific and estimation uncertainties given different instruments for measuring GHG emissions may vary in characteristics, in terms of functions and assumed parameters.

2. Our Independence and Quality Control

We have met the independence requirements of the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants in July 2018, which is based on the fundamental principles of integrity, objectiveness, professional competence and due care, confidentiality, and professional behavior.

In addition, we maintain a comprehensive quality control system, including documented policies and procedures for compliance with ethical rules, professional standards, and applicable laws and regulations in accordance with the International Standard on Quality Control 1 issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board in April 2009.

3. Our responsibilities

Our responsibility is to express a limited assurance conclusion on the Indicators included in the Report based on the procedures we have performed and the evidence we have obtained.

We conducted our limited assurance engagement in accordance with the International Standard on Assurance Engagements: Assurance Engagements Other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information (“ISAE 3000”) (Revised), issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board in December 2013, Practical Guidelines for the Assurance of Sustainability Information, revised in December 2014 by the Japanese Association of Assurance Organizations for Sustainability Information and, with respect of GHG emissions, the International Standard on Assurance Engagements: Assurance Engagements on Greenhouse Gas Statements (“ISAE 3410”), issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board in December 2013.

The procedures, which we have performed according to our professional judgment, include inquiries, document inspection, analytical procedures, reconciliation between source documents and Indicators in the Report, as well as the following:

- Making inquiries regarding the Company’s own criteria that it determined with consideration of Japanese environmental regulations, and evaluating the appropriateness thereof;
- Inspecting relevant documents with regard to the design of the Company’s internal controls related to the Indicators, and inquiring of personnel responsible thereof at the Company and 1 construction site visited ;
- Performing analytical procedures concerning the Indicators at the Company and 1 construction site visited; and
- Testing, on a sample basis, underlying source information and conducting relevant re-calculations at the Company and 1 construction site visited.

The procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement are more limited in nature, timing and extent than a reasonable assurance engagement. As a result, the level of assurance obtained in a limited assurance engagement is lower than would have been obtained if we had performed a reasonable assurance engagement.

4. Conclusion

Based on the procedures performed and evidence obtained, nothing has come to our attention that causes us to believe that the Indicators included in the Report have not been measured and reported in accordance with the Company’s own criteria that it determined with consideration of Japanese environmental regulations.